

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address TriMMISSUMER OF FAVENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1490 Mexandra Vizzna 2333/1480 www.nsptc.y.o.

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 665,617	09-19-2000	Kuniki Kino	506.39084X00	5296
20457	7590 05 14 2003			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			MARX, IRENE	
ARLINGTO!	N, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	1<
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/665,617	KINO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Irene Marx	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	N V IC OFT TO EVENE AM	IONITH(S) FDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b) - Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 April 2003 .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 5-11 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1, 5 and 11 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in I		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	=xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 14

Application/Control Number: 09/665,617

Art Unit: 1651

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/17/03 has been entered.

Claims 1, 5 and 11 are being considered on the merits.

Claims 6-10 are withdrawn from consideration as directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the production of histidine with a specific strain of *Escherichia coli*, i.e., strain H-9341, does not reasonably provide enablement for the production of this amino acid with any strain of microorganism resistant to 150 mg/l of an aminoquinoline or even a strain of *Escherichia* resistant to this amount of primaquine. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

From the record of the present written disclosure, strain *E. coli* H-9341 was shown to be capable of the production of the required product. This strain was obtained by random NTG mutagenesis and selection of strain *E. coli* ATCC 21318 requiring methionine. There is indication that one other strain was obtained by mutagenesis. However, the histidine producing ability of this strain is not disclosed. Therefore, strain *Escherichia coli* H-9341is the only strain enabled by the present specification for the production of histidine as claimed.

It would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to determine which other strains of microorganisms or of *Escherichia* would be suitable for the claimed invention, in view of the diversity of strains encompassed by "microorganism", since the term "microorganism" encompasses not only bacteria, but also fungi, yeasts, viruses, protozoa and plant and animal

Application/Control Number: 09/665,617

Art Unit: 1651

cells. In addition, a specific strain of *E. coli* was mutagenized in order to obtain a histidine producing strain having the required capability. As correctly indicated by Applicants, "there are complicated regulation system in the biosynthesis pathway for amino acids" (response, page 6 and references cited therein). Moreover, from this record there is no clear correlation between resistance to 150 mg/l of an aminoquinoline for any microorganism or even for strains of *Escherichia* and the production of histidine as required.

Undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed due to the quantity of experimentation to screen and select microorganisms or *Escherichia* strains will be capable of producing histidine upon resistance to 150 mg/l of an aminoquinoline or even a strain of *Escherichia* resistant to this material; limited amount of guidance and limited number of working examples in the specification related to this screening and selection process to show the requisite correlation thereof; the unpredictable nature of the invention; and breadth of the claims. In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Thus, the scope of the claims is not commensurate with the teachings of enablement of the specification.

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (703) 308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is before final (703) 872-9306 and after final, (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 308-0198 or the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Irene Marx Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651